

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/671,953	09/27/2000	Claude Meares	2307O-099120US	8313	
43850	7590 11/12/2004		EXAM	EXAMINER	
•	LEWIS & BOCKIUS LLF	HELMS, LARRY RONALD			
2 PALO ALT PALO ALTO	-		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1642	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
	+		DATE MAILED: 11/12/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Advisory Action	09/671,953	MEARES ET AL.	
navious nous.	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Larry R. Helms	1642	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence addr	ess
THE REPLY FILED 29 October 2004 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to average final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appea Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	oid abandonment of this applica) a timely filed amendment which	ation. A proper reply n places the applicat	to a tion in
PERIOD FOR RE	EPLY [check either a) or b)]		
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailin b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 C	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE date on which the petition under 37 CFI of extension and the corresponding amounth that the shortened statutory period for reply the later than three months after the mail	g date of the final rejection E FINAL REJECTION. S R 1.136(a) and the apprount of the fee. The appropriginally set in the final C	on. See MPEP priate extension priate extension Office action; or
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on 29 October 2004. A 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR	R 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of		rth in
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:		
(a) They raise new issues that would require further	er consideration and/or search (s	see NOTE below);	
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b	•		
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in issues for appeal; and/or	n better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or sim	plifying the
(d) they present additional claims without cancell	ng a corresponding number of fi	nally rejected claims	i.
NOTE:			
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject			
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	parate, timely filed a	amendment
5. ☑ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☑ request for application in condition for allowance because: See		dered but does NOT	place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY to	o issues which were	newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we			nd an
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:			
Claim(s) allowed: <u>1-3,10,11,14-25,42,45 and 46</u> .			
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: 44.			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
8. ☐ The drawing correction filed on is a) ☐ appr	oved or b) disapproved by the	ne Examiner.	1/
9. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemer	nt(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	· .	1. l
10. Other:			6
	LAF PF	RRY R. HELMS, PH:D NMARY EXAMINER	

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: A deposiit is still needed for claim 44. The response states that the materials were known and readily available and both the reference and a US Patent disclose how to construct the hybridoma. In response to this argument, as evidenced from Paul isolation of a specific antibody hybridoma is an unpredictable art..